First examples of non-abelian quotients of the Grothendieck-Teichmueller group

Ivan Bortnovskyi, Vasily A. Dolgushev, Borys Holikov, Vadym Pashkovskyi

Yulia's Dream Conference, June 13, 2024

If a field extension $E \supset F$ satisfies some nice properties then the corresponding automorphism group Aut(E/F) (automorphisms of *E* that fixing *F*) is called the **Galois group** Gal(E/F).

Definition (Absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$)

If we consider an infinite extension $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \supset \mathbb{Q}$, then the corresponding Galois group is called the absolute Galois group of rational numbers and denoted $G_{\mathbb{Q}} := Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

Although this group is uncountable, we know explicitly only two elements:

- Identity
- Complex conjugation $(a + bi \rightarrow a bi)$

It plays an important role in number theory and is one of the most mysterious objects in mathematics!

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

In 1990, Vladimir Drinfield introduced yet another mysterious group \widehat{GT} (which he called the Grothendieck-Teichmueller group). \widehat{GT} is a subset of

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}\times \widehat{F_2}$$

 $(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ and } \widehat{F_2} \text{ are profinite completion of } \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } F_2 \text{ respectively})$ where all pairs satisfy two hexagon relations and the pentagon relation. The group \widehat{GT} receives a homomorphism

$$\textit{Ih}: \textit{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\textit{GT}}$$

It was shown that *Ih* is injective and we call it the **Ihara embedding**. In his 1990 ICM address, Yasutaka Ihara asked: Is this homomorphism surjective?.

This question is still open and VERY HARD!!!

★ E > ★ E >

There are also a lot of open questions about the structure of \widehat{GT} . For example, here is one from Loshack and Schneps paper called "Open problems in Grothendieck-Teichmueller Theory":

Question

Can anything be said about the finite quotients of \widehat{GT} ? Obviously, all abelian groups arise as quotients, since $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ is a quotient of \widehat{GT} . But what non-abelian groups arise?

In our paper, we found the first examples of the non-abelian finite quotients of \widehat{GT} . We did it by producing versions of *Ih* that are surjective.

Surprisingly, in our proof, we used relatively elementary tools:

- Basic properties of group homomorphisms;
- The surjectivity of the cyclotomic character $\chi: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$;
- The image of complex conjugation in \widehat{GT} is $(-1_{\widehat{Z}}, 1_{\widehat{F_{2}}})$;
- Basic number theory

What is the cyclotomic character?

Let \mathbb{Q}^{ab} be a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ that is obtained by extending \mathbb{Q} with all roots of unity, one can show that \mathbb{Q}^{ab} is a Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} . Also $Gal(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to the group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$ of units of the ring $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

There is a natural surjective homomorphism (restriction) $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to Gal(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q})$. So we get a surjective group homomorphism

$$\chi: \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\times}$$

and we call it cyclotomic character.

Actually, for $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$:

$$\mathit{lh}(g) = \left(\, rac{\chi(g) - 1}{2}, \mathit{f}_g \,
ight) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} imes \widehat{F_2}$$

A bit about braid groups

Let B_3 be the Artin braid group on 3 strands:

$$B_3 := \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 | \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle.$$

The generators of B_3 can be represented by these pictures:



Let PB_3 be the corresponding pure braid group, i.e PB_3 is the kernel of the standard homomorphism $B_3 \rightarrow S_3$:

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto (1,2), \quad \sigma_2 \mapsto (2,3)$$

For our purposes, we need the following poset:

$$NFI_{PB_3}(B_3) := \{K \mid K \leq B_3, \mid K \leq PB_3, \mid |B_3 : K| < \infty\}.$$

The group \widehat{GT} is closely connected to the groupoid GTSh with

 $Ob(GTSh) := NFI_{PB_3}(B_3).$

For $K, N \in NFI_{PB_3}(B_3)$, the set of morphisms GTSh(K, N) is a subset of pairs

$$(\textit{m} + \textit{N}_{\textit{ord}}\mathbb{Z},\textit{fN}) \in \mathbb{Z}/\textit{N}_{\textit{ord}}\mathbb{Z} imes \textit{PB}_3/\textit{N}$$

satisfying certain conditions. Here N_{ord} is a positive integer that can be expressed in terms of *N*. We call such pairs **GT-shadows** with the target *N*.

These conditions are needed to guarantee that the formulas

$$T_{m,f}(\sigma_1) := \sigma_1^{2m+1}N, \qquad T_{m,f}(\sigma_2) := f^{-1}\sigma_2^{2m+1}fN,$$

defined a group homomorphism $T_{m,f}: B_3 \to B_3/N$ and $ker(T_{m,f}) = K$.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ □ ● ● ● ●

If $K \in Ob(GTSh)$ is **isolated**, then the connected component $GTSh_{conn}(K)$ is basically the finite group

GTSh(K, K).

We will denote GTSh(K, K) = GT(K). For every isolated object we have a natural group homomorphism (projection map)

$$PR_K: \widehat{GT} \to GT(K).$$

Composing it with *Ih* we get

$$lh_{K}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to GT(K).$$

Isolated objects we explored

We looked at the subposet

$$\textit{Dih} := \{\textit{K}^{(n)} \in \textit{NFI}_{\textit{PB}_3}(\textit{B}_3) | n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}\} \subset \textit{NFI}_{\textit{PB}_3}(\textit{B}_3)$$

related to dihedral groups. More precisely,

$$\mathcal{K}^{(n)} := ker(\psi_n : \mathcal{PB}_3 \to \mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{D}_n)$$

$$\psi_n(\sigma_1^2) := (r, s, s), \ \psi_n(\sigma_2^2) := (rs, r, rs), \ \psi_n((\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^2) := (1, 1, 1).$$

These three elements generate *PB*₃.

Theorem (I.B., B.H., V.P., V.D.)

If $n = 2^a$ for $a \ge 2$, then

$$lh_{K^{(n)}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to GT(K^{(n)})$$

is surjective.

- Explore action of GTSh on child drawings;
- Develop a SageMath package for working with *GT*-shadows;
- Inverse Galois theory;
- Describe extensions of \mathbb{Q} that correspond to $GT(K^{(n)})$

- V. Drinfeld, On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with Gal(Q/Q), Algebra i Analiz 2, 4 (1990) 149–181.
- [2] V.A. Dolgushev and J.J. Guynee, GT-shadows for the gentle version GT_{gen} of the Grothendieck-Teichmueller group, arXiv:2401.06870.
- P. Lochak and L. Schneps. "Open problems in Grothendieck-Teichmller theory." Problems on mapping class groups and related topics 74 (2006): 165-186.
- [4] V. A. Dolgushev, K. Q. Le, A. A. Lorenz "What are GT-shadows?" arXiv:2008.00066.
- [5] Y. Ihara, On the embedding of $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ into GT, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [6] I. Bortnovskyi, V.A. Dolgushev, B. Holikov, V. Pashkovskyi, First examples of non-abelian quotients of the Grothendieck-Teichmueller group that receive surjective homomorphisms from the absolute Galois group of rational numbers, arXiv:2405.11725

THANK YOU!

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト